UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

JAMES L. WAVER,)	CASE NO. 1: 06 CV 1239
Petitioner,)	
v.)	JUDGE DONALD C. NUGENT
RICH GANSHEIMER, Warden,)	MEMORANDUM OPINION
Respondent.)	<u>AND ORDER</u>

This matter comes before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate

Judge Kenneth S. McHargh. The Report and Recommendation (ECF # 29), filed on September

1, 2009, is ADOPTED by this Court, and Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF #

1), filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, is denied.

Pursuant to Local Rule 72.2, this matter was referred to Magistrate Judge McHargh for the preparation of a report and recommendation. On September 1, 2009, Magistrate Judge McHargh recommended that the Petition be denied as untimely. Petitioner filed objections to the Report and Recommendation on September 1, 2009 and September 14, 2009. (ECF # 30, # 32.)

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation *de novo*. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Moreover, it has considered all of the pleadings, affidavits, motions, and filings of the parties. Despite Petitioner's assertions to the contrary, the Court finds Magistrate Judge McHargh's Report and Recommendation to be well-written, well-supported, and correct. The Court likewise finds that the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus should be denied as untimely filed. Therefore, the Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED in its entirety (ECF # 29), the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is DENIED (ECF # 1), and Petitioner's objections

Case: 1:06-cv-01239-DCN Doc #: 33 Filed: 09/25/09 2 of 2. PageID #: 976

are thereby DENIED (ECF # 30, # 32.).

Furthermore, the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); FED. R. APP. P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DONALD C. NUGENT

United States District Judge

DATED: X